

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. Frederick F. VanGoor VPI/03-103 US 6802 03/12/2004 10/800,022 **EXAMINER** 02/15/2006 27916 KOSACK, JOSEPH R VERTEX PHARMACEUTICALS INC. 130 WAVERLY STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4242 1626

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/800,022	VANGOOR ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Joseph Kosack	1626
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Fe		
<i>,</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-84</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-48,50,51 and 56-82</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 49,52-55,83 and 84 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/30/2005. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/30/2005. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/30/2005. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/30/2005.			

Application/Control Number: 10/800,022 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-84 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (Claims 49 and 52-84) and an election of species of compound IA-6 from page 55 of the specification in the reply filed on February 1, 2006 is acknowledged.

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-84 are pending in the instant application. Claims 1-48, Claim 49 (in part), Claims 50-51, Claims 52-55 (in part), Claims 56-82, and Claims 83-84 (in part) are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. The withdrawn subject matter is patentably distinct from the elected subject matter as it differs in the structure and element and would require separate search considerations. In addition, a reference, which anticipates one group, would not render obvious the other.

Pursuant to Applicant's election of a species, the scope of the invention will be

limited to the following substitutions of the base structure

where:

- X₂ is halogen;
- X₃ is H, halogen, CF₃, and NO₂.

Art Unit: 1626

As a result of the election and the corresponding scope of the invention defined supra, the remaining subject matter of Claims 49, 52-55, and 83-84 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to non-elected inventions. The withdrawn compounds contain varying functional groups such as pyrimidinyl, piperidinyl, imidazoyl, pyrrolidinyl, etc, which are chemically recognized to differ in structure and function. This recognized chemical diversity of the functional groups can be seen by the various classification of these functional groups in the U.S. classification system, i.e. class 544 subclass 244(+) (diazines), class 546 subclass 184(+) (piperidines), 546 subclass 249(+) (pyridines), etc. Therefore the subject matter which are withdrawn from consideration as being non-elected subject matter differ materially in structure and composition and have been restricted properly a reference which anticipated but the elected subject matter would not even render obvious the withdrawn subject matter and the fields of search are not co-extensive.

Priority

The claim to priority of US Serial No. 60/453,978 filed on March 12, 2003 has been acknowledged in the instant application.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement that was received on March 30, 2005 has been considered fully by the examiner.

Claim Objections

Claims 49, 52-55, and 83-84 are objected to for containing elected and nonelected subject matter. The elected subject matter have been identified supra.

Art Unit: 1626

Claim 83 objected to because of the following informalities: using codes from the specification to identify compounds. Appropriate correction by replacing the compound codes with either IUPAC chemical names or chemical structures is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 49 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In the instant case, a pharmaceutical composition comprising elected compounds, a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, and an additional agent are claimed.

In the art, the addition of therapeutic agents to a pharmaceutical composition consisting of a compound of interest and acceptable pharmaceutical carriers can affect the properties of the compound of interest. Properties affected can include binding constants, rates of diffusion, kinetics of reaction, receptor specificity, etc. The specification provides evidence that pharmaceutical compositions containing elected compounds can contain one of mucolytic agents, a bronchodialator, an anti-biotic, etc, but not any evidence that these combinations have been attempted, or what their affect is on the properties of the elected compounds. Therefore, only the pharmaceutical

Art Unit: 1626

compositions that contain only the elected compounds and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier meet the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 84 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 84 recites the limitation "a pharmaceutical composition comprising a compound according to any one of claims 40-83." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because Claims 40-48 are drawn to a method of modulating ABC transporter activity and Claims 50-51 are drawn to a kit for use in measuring the activity of a ABC transporter or a fragment thereof in a biological sample.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 49, 55, and 84 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kästner et al. (DE 41 26 543 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/800,022 Page 6

Art Unit: 1626

The instant application cites compounds of the formula

where X₂ is halogen; and X₃ is H, halogen, CF₃, and NO₂.

Kästner et al. teach a compound:

and its pharmaceutical

compositon which reads on the claims when X_2 is halogen and X_3 is hydrogen. See Beispiel 2 on page 7, lines 3-32 and page 6, lines 40-49.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 49, 52-55, and 83-84 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kästner et al. (DE 41 26 543 A1).

The instant application cites compounds of the formula

where X_2 is halogen; and X_3 is H, halogen, CF_3 , and NO_2 .

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Kästner et al. teach a compound of the formula

as defined. See page 2, line 60 through page 3, line 6.

with substituents

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

Kästner et al. do not teach specifically all compounds which fall into the scope of the instant invention.

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

Art Unit: 1626

Kästner et al. teach generally the compounds of the instant invention, including the elected species when Ar is 2-hydroxyphenyl substituted by CI, R is phenyl substituted by CF₃ and R¹ is hydrogen. See page 2, line 60 through page 3, line 6. Kästner et al also teaches the pharmaceutical composition comprising the compounds. See page 6, lines 40-49.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to follow the synthetic scheme of Kästner et al. and make the claimed invention with a reasonable expectation of success. The motivation to do so is provided by Kästner et al. Kästner et al. teach the use of the synthesized compounds to treat psoriasis. See page 6, lines 28-39.

Thus, the claimed invention as a whole was *prima facie* obviousness over the prior art.

Conclusion

Claims 49, 52-55, and 83-84 are rejected. Claims 49, 52-55, and 83-84 are objected to.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Kosack whose telephone number is (571)-272-5575. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571)-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,022 Page 9

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Kosack Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

KAMAL A. SAEED, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 1626